## SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

I OOO LOUISIANA
SUITE 5 I OO

HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002

(7 I 3) 65 I-9366

FAX (7 I 3) 654-6666

WWW.SUSMANGODFREY.COM

SUITE 1400 1900 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067-6029 (310) 789-3100 SUITE 3000 40 | UNION STREET SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98 | 0 | -2683 (206) 5 | 6-3880

ONE MANHATTAN WEST

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001-8602

(212) 336-8330

VINEET BHATIA
DIRECT DIAL (713) 653-7855

E-MAIL VBHATIA@SUSMANGODFREY.COM

February 19, 2025

By ECF
Hon. Vernon S. Broderick
United States District Judge
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, New York 10007

Re: C.A. No. 24-cv-07402 (VSB); Patel v. FanDuel, et al

Dear Judge Broderick:

I represent the defendants Fan Duel, Inc. and Flutter Entertainment plc in the above-referenced matter.

The Court ordered defendants to submit a letter explaining whether their pending motion to dismiss is moot in light of the amended complaint filed on February 18, 2025.

Flutter's motion to dismiss is moot because the amended complaint does not bring any claims against it.

As for FanDuel's motion to dismiss, when a plaintiff amends his complaint "after a defendant has filed a motion to dismiss that is still pending, the district court has the option of either denying the pending motion as moot or evaluating the motion in light of the facts alleged in the amended complaint." *Pettaway v. Nat'l Recovery Sols, LLC*, 955 F.3d 299, 303 (2d Cir. 2020). Because the amended complaint includes some new allegations that FanDuel would address in a renewed motion, *see, e.g.*, Am. Compl. ¶¶ 254–257, it has "supersede[d] the original" and rendered it moot. *Pettaway*, 955 F.3d at 303 (quoting *In re Crysen/Montenay Energy Co.*, 226 F.3d 160, 162 (2d Cir. 2000)).

February 19, 2025 Page 2

FanDuel therefore requests leave to file a renewed motion to dismiss by March 7, 2025. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(3), the deadline to file such a motion is March 4, 2025. FanDuel seeks a three-day extension due to the press of other litigation matters. No previous requests for extensions with respect to the filing of a renewed motion to dismiss have been made. Plaintiff's counsel consents to this extension.

FanDuel continues to believe that arbitration of Patel's claims is required under the Federal Arbitration Act. Accordingly, the motion to compel arbitration is not moot. The amended complaint, however, includes different paragraphs from the original pleading, and it would likely be more convenient for the Court if FanDuel filed an amended motion to compel arbitration that cites to the new allegations. FanDuel will be in a position to file an amended motion by this Friday, February 21, and will do so with the leave of the Court, which we request. Plaintiff's counsel will file an opposition to the motion to compel by February 28, and FanDuel will file any reply by March 7. Plaintiff's counsel consents to this proposed schedule.

Thank you for your consideration.

APPLICATION GRANTED SO ORDERED VERNON S. BRODERICK U.S.D.J.

Date: February 20, 2025

Defendant FanDuel, Inc. and Flutter Entertainment plc's (together, "Defendants") requests are GRANTED. Defendants' pending motion to dismiss, (Doc. 31), is denied as moot.

In light of the amended complaint, FanDuel's amended motion to compel shall be submitted on or before February 21, 2025. Plaintiffs' opposition brief is due February 28, 2025, and FanDuel's reply brief is due March 7, 2025.

FanDuel's renewed motion to dismiss shall be submitted on or before March 7, 2025.

Because the amended complaint no longer names Flutter Entertainment plc as a defendant, the Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate Defendant Flutter Entertainment plc from this action.

The Clerk of Court is also respectfully directed to terminate Documents 29 (motion to compel arbitration), 31 (motion to dismiss), and 36 (letter motion for leave to file motion to dismiss).

Respectfully submitted,

Vinet Statu

Vineet Bhatia